

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#)
Subject: East Anglia One North East Anglia 2
Date: 15 December 2020 13:31:59
Attachments: [Deadline 3 Response .docx](#)

Der Case Team

Attached is my Deadline 3 response for bothe EA!North and EA2

With many thanks

E Thomas



Tuesday November 15th

The Planning Inspectorate
Temple Quay House
Temple Quay
Bristol
BS1 6PN

IP2023648/20023649

This representation refers to East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two

Dear Sirs

In response to the Issue Specific Hearings thank you for the manner in which the IS Hearings have been managed. I wish to raise 4 issues with the Inspector .

1. SPR classified the village of Friston as an Urban development and cited the five Duck sheds and two barn conversions as a reason for this designation. In his struggle to justify this claim the SPR representative suggested the electricity pylons were also a reason for the classification of Urban

I would suggest SPR would be well advised to look at Wikipedia for clarity on the classifications of communities.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Village#:~:text=A%20village%20in%20the%20UK,\)%2C%20quarrying%20or%20sea%20fishing.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Village#:~:text=A%20village%20in%20the%20UK,)%2C%20quarrying%20or%20sea%20fishing.)

Also SCDC Core strategy and Development Document Pages 63 and 81

a)The Applicant would be well can find supportive evidence on the Village Profile for Friston and using above information apply the correct criteria for classification of a village.

b) Using the criteria of the Pylons as a classification of an urban area would The Applicant to suit their plans has decided alter the classification of every community over which the pylons cross.

2. During the ISH the Applicant was unable to provide clarity as to how the proposed Sub-Station would blend into the landscape. Regarding the Applicant's lack of information given the design plans for the Sub-station. Will the applicant be able to show they are taking into account the:

Response _to_the_NIA_final.pdf Recommendation 52, pages 37-38?

3. At a recent Friston Parish Council Meeting the Council were informed of The Applicant's approaches to negotiate mitigation agreements with the East Suffolk Council's Footpath's officer and in addition the Diocese has been informed the

Applicant has approached SCC heritage officer to negotiate a sum of money for Friston Church repairs.

The Applicant should at the very least be asked to clarify what negotiations have already been entered into with the LA and any other organisations as a form of mitigation.

4. The suggestion by the Applicant that they will offer history seminars to the residents of Friston is astonishing to say the least. The residents of Friston across the piste have a wealth of knowledge about this area and it's heritage. This idea to offer "lessons" is insulting and patronising and shows the total disregard the Applicant has for the people who live in this Village. If the Applicant had taken the time to engage with the residents they would understand the community has a very strong sense of heritage and that is based upon the history of the village and the wish to preserve that history. It is also amazing that they who are determined to destroy the village and its environs should even dare to suggest such a plan.

"It is a classic example of Chomsky's propaganda model. The media respectabilises the voices of capital' making them seem cuddly and friendly, even as they trash the living world and harm our lives"

Yours Faithfully

Elizabeth Thomas